

Minutes of the meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated Village of Hewlett Neck held on Wednesday, March 27 2018 at 7:00 p.m. at Village Hall, 30 Piermont Avenue, Hewlett, New York.

1. Calling the meeting to order:

Mayor Epstein called the meeting to order at 7:07 p.m.

2. Roll call:

Present	Mayor Trustee Trustee Village Attorney Village Clerk	Ross Epstein Michael Levine- Aron Schnell Brian Stolar, Esq. Michelle Blandino
Absent	Deputy Mayor Trustee Treasurer	Steve Hochberg Brett Cooper Peter Halperin-

3. Notice of Meeting– Nassau Herald:

Clerk Blandino reported that notice of this evening's meeting was mailed to the Nassau Herald and were posted on the bulletin board outside Village Hall and in the lobby of Village Hall.

4. Minutes –February 7, 2018 meeting minutes

On motion by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Schnell, the Board voted unanimously to dispense with the reading of the minutes of the February 7, 2018 Board meeting as the Clerk has previously mailed such minutes to the Board and they are hereby approved.

5. Correspondence:

A. Request for a Special Use Permit received from Crown Castle on 12/11/17

Village Attorney Brian Stolar gave an update to the Board

B. An email received on 2/21/18 from Lana Aronov who resides at 185 Dolphin, asking that the Village look into the flooding that is occurring in her back yard that she believes may be caused by a Village drain

The Board reviewed and discussed.

6. Building Department Proposed Permit Fees:

On motion by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Schnell, and unanimously approved, the Board adopted the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees is authorized to adopt, and from time to time amend, the fees for services, which are set forth in a fee schedule in Chapter 82 Section 82-11, and

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees desires to update fees for various services and permits,

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved that, effective immediately for all new applications, the Board of Trustees adopts the following fee schedule, pursuant to the authority provided in Village Code 82-11; and amends Chapter 82 Section 82-11 accordingly:

Hewlett Neck Fees

All fees are non-refundable

Permit Application Filing & Review Fee - \$200 due at time of application submission.

Building Permit Fee – 1.5% of the estimated cost of construction up to 1 million dollars, anything over 1 million will be 1% of the estimated cost.

Demolition of Entire Structure - \$1,000 Flat fee plus Certificate of Completion \$150

Interior Demolition - \$450 –

Interior demo includes removal of sheetrock, insulation, beams, etc, of approximately 50% or more of the dwelling. Subject to Building Inspectors determination.

Demolition of Pool - \$450 (Plumbing permit for removal of existing plumbing) plus Certificate of Completion

Complete removal of an existing swimming pool

Plumbing - \$150 First 3 Fixtures, \$50 each additional – *Fixtures to include but not limited to: Toilets, Sinks, Showers, Tubs, Refrigerator, Boiler, Hot water Heater, Oil Tank, Dishwashers, Washing Machines, Dryers, Slop sinks, Gas piping, Drywells, Pool Heaters.*

Gas Pressure Test- \$75

Gas pressure test for new gas fixtures and piping

Generator - \$150 for the first unit, \$50 each additional and plumbing permit required for piping \$75 for gas pressure test and Certificate of Completion \$150

AC / HVAC - \$150 for the first unit, \$50 each additional and 1% of the cost of installation

Fence - \$100 flat fee

Swimming Pool - \$750 Flat Fee Plus \$150 for the Certificate of Completion

Tennis Court - \$750 Flat Fee Plus \$150 for the Certificate of Completion

Certificate of Occupancy (C of O) (New Dwelling) - \$200

Temporary Certificate of Occupancy (only good for 60 days) - \$150 than \$200 for Final Certificate of Occupancy

Certificate of Completions (Cof C)- \$150

Temporary Certificate of Completion \$150 than \$200 for Final Certificate of Completion

Title Search - \$100 (When a title company requests the Village to do a search on a property of all permits, opened and closed, any violations on the property and copies of all Certificate of Occupancies and Certificate of Completions).

7. **Hewlett Neck Village Court Update:**

Clerk Blandino updated the Board

8. **Set Budget Hearing for adoption of 2017/18 Tentative Budget**

On motion by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Schnell and unanimously approved, the Board voted unanimously to hold a public hearing on April 10, 2018 at 8:00 p.m. with respect to the adoption of 2018/19 Budget.

9. **Storm water Report Year 15 Proposals:**

The Board received proposals from three (3) companies; LIRO Engineers, West Side Engineering, P.C./ James Antonelli, P.E., and Brian McCaffrey, P.E.

On motion by Trustee Schnell, seconded by Trustee Levine, the Board voted unanimously to authorize West Side Engineering, PC. to prepare the Year 15 Annual Report for the Phase 2 Storm Water Program in accordance with a written proposal, and authorized the Mayor to execute any documents necessary to accept the proposal for a fee not to exceed \$1600.00.

10. **Street Sweeping Proposals::**

A. Stasi Brothers Asphalt Corp: \$1600.00

On motion by Trustee Schnell, seconded by Trustee Levine and unanimously approved, the Board awarded a contract to Stasi Brothers Asphalt Corp. for street sweeping, based on a proposal submitted to the Village on 3/22/18, at a total cost not to exceed \$1,600.00, including debris removal, to be performed in the Spring, and authorized the Mayor to execute any documents necessary to accept the proposal.

11. **Continuation of Public Hearing for Proposed Bill HN-1-2018 A local law to amend the Code of the Village of Hewlett Neck in relation to notice requirements for Design Review Board hearings.**

A. Open Hearing – The Board opened the Continued Public Hearing

B. Notice of Hearing Clerk Blandino confirmed that notice of this evening's public hearing was published in the Nassau Herald and was posted on the bulletin board outside of Village Hall and in the lobby of Village Hall.

C. Affidavits – Affidavits of posting and publication are on file at the Village Clerk's office.

D. Appearances – None.

E. Close Hearing –On motion made by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Levine and unanimously approved the Board voted to close the hearing. and adopt the following resolution

RESOLUTION
SEQRA Classification

RESOLVED, that the Board hereby finds and concludes that the Board of Trustees is the Lead Agency with respect to environmental impact review of Bill HN- 1-2018 as defined in the State Environmental Quality Review Act and its regulations, and

- (a) the adoption of HN 1- 2018 is an Unlisted Action;
- (b) the Board has considered the following factors and made the following conclusions in respect to its review of the environmental impacts of the proposed action:
 - (i) the proposed action would not result in any substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels, nor any substantial increase in solid waste production, nor create a substantial increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
 - (ii) the proposed action would not result in the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, impacts on a significant habitat area, substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such a species, or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;
 - (iii) the proposed action would not impair the environmental characteristics of any Critical Environmental Area;
 - (iv) the proposed action would not conflict with the community's current plans or goals as official approved or adopted;
 - (v) the proposed action would not impair the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;
 - (vi) the proposed action would not result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;
 - (vii) the proposed action would not create a hazard to human health;
 - (viii) the proposed action would not create a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land, including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;
 - (ix) the proposed action would not encourage or attract large numbers of persons to any place for more than a few days, compared to the number who would come to such place without such action;
 - (x) the proposed action would not create changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which would have a significant impact on the environment, but when taken considered together would result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment;
 - (xi) the proposed action would not create substantial adverse impacts when considered cumulatively with any other actions, proposed or in process;
 - (xii) the proposed action would not result in substantial adverse impact with respect to any relevant environmental consideration, including noise, aesthetics, traffic, air quality, water quality or adequacy of water supply, drainage, soil conditions, or quality of life in the community in general and the immediate neighborhood in particular;
 - (xiii) the proposed action would enhance the protection of the environment;

- (c) the proposed action would not have a significant adverse environmental impact;
- (d) no further environmental review is required with respect to the proposed action, and

(e) the Mayor, or his designee, is authorized to complete an Environmental Assessment Form in relation to this proposed action in a manner consistent with the foregoing findings.

On motion by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Schnell, the Board voted unanimously to adopt Local Law No. HN-1 of 2018 in relation to notice requirements for Design Review Board hearings

12. Continuation of Public Hearing for Proposed Bill HN-2-2018- A local law to amend the Code of the Village of Hewlett Neck in relation to changes in topography

A. Open Hearing – The Board opened the Continued Public Hearing

B. Notice of Hearing: Nassau Herald.

C. Affidavits – Received.

D. Appearances – None.

E. Close Hearing – On motion made by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Levine and unanimously approved the Board voted to close the hearing and adopt the following resolution

RESOLUTION
SEQRA Classification

RESOLVED, that the Board hereby finds and concludes that the Board of Trustees is the Lead Agency with respect to environmental impact review of Bill HN- 2-2018 as defined in the State Environmental Quality Review Act and its regulations, and

(a) the adoption of HN 2- 2018 is an Unlisted Action;

(b) the Board has considered the following factors and made the following conclusions in respect to its review of the environmental impacts of the proposed action:

(i) the proposed action would not result in any substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels, nor any substantial increase in solid waste production, nor create a substantial increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;

(ii) the proposed action would not result in the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, impacts on a significant habitat area, substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such a species, or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;

(iii) the proposed action would not impair the environmental characteristics of any Critical Environmental Area;

(iv) the proposed action would not conflict with the community's current plans or goals as official approved or adopted;

(v) the proposed action would not impair the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;

(vi) the proposed action would not result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;

(vii) the proposed action would not create a hazard to human health;

(viii) the proposed action would not create a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land, including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;

(ix) the proposed action would not encourage or attract large numbers of persons to any place for more than a few days, compared to the number who would come to such place without such action;

(x) the proposed action would not create changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which would have a significant impact on the environment, but when taken considered together would result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment;

(xi) the proposed action would not create substantial adverse impacts when considered cumulatively with any other actions, proposed or in process;

(xii) the proposed action would not result in substantial adverse impact with respect to any relevant environmental consideration, including noise, aesthetics, traffic, air quality, water quality or adequacy of water supply, drainage, soil conditions, or quality of life in the community in general and the immediate neighborhood in particular;

(xiii) the proposed action would enhance the protection of the environment;

(c) the proposed action would not have a significant adverse environmental impact;

(d) no further environmental review is required with respect to the proposed action, and

(e) the Mayor, or his designee, is authorized to complete an Environmental Assessment Form in relation to this proposed action in a manner consistent with the foregoing findings.

On motion by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Schnell, the Board voted unanimously to adopt Local Law No. HN-2 of 2018 in relation to changes in Topography

13. Continuation of Public Hearing for Proposed Bill HN-3-2018 A local law to amend the Code of the Village of Hewlett Neck to regulate the preservation and protection of trees in the Village of Hewlett Neck.

A. Open Hearing – The Board opened the Continued Public Hearing

B. Notice of Hearing: Nassau Herald.

C. Affidavits – Received.

D. Appearances – None.

Close Hearing – On motion made by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Levine and unanimously approved the Board voted to close the hearing and adopt the following resolution

RESOLUTION
SEQRA Classification

RESOLVED, that the Board hereby finds and concludes that the Board of Trustees is the Lead Agency with respect to environmental impact review of Bill HN- 3-2018 as defined in the State Environmental Quality Review Act and its regulations, and

- (a) the adoption of HN 3- 2018 is an Unlisted Action;
- (b) the Board has considered the following factors and made the following conclusions in respect to its review of the environmental impacts of the proposed action:
 - (i) the proposed action would not result in any substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels, nor any substantial increase in solid waste production, nor create a substantial increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
 - (ii) the proposed action would not result in the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, impacts on a significant habitat area, substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such a species, or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;
 - (iii) the proposed action would not impair the environmental characteristics of any Critical Environmental Area;
 - (iv) the proposed action would not conflict with the community's current plans or goals as official approved or adopted;
 - (v) the proposed action would not impair the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;
 - (vi) the proposed action would not result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;
 - (vii) the proposed action would not create a hazard to human health;
 - (viii) the proposed action would not create a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land, including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;
 - (ix) the proposed action would not encourage or attract large numbers of persons to any place for more than a few days, compared to the number who would come to such place without such action;
 - (x) the proposed action would not create changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which would have a significant impact on the environment, but when taken considered together would result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment;
 - (xi) the proposed action would not create substantial adverse impacts when considered cumulatively with any other actions, proposed or in process;
 - (xii) the proposed action would not result in substantial adverse impact with respect to any relevant environmental consideration, including noise, aesthetics, traffic, air quality, water quality or adequacy of water supply, drainage, soil conditions, or quality of life in the community in general and the immediate neighborhood in particular;
 - (xiii) the proposed action would enhance the protection of the environment;
- (c) the proposed action would not have a significant adverse environmental impact;
- (d) no further environmental review is required with respect to the proposed action,
and

(e) the Mayor, or his designee, is authorized to complete an Environmental Assessment Form in relation to this proposed action in a manner consistent with the foregoing findings.

On motion by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Schnell, the Board voted unanimously to adopt Local Law No. HN-3 of 2018 to regulate the preservation and protection of trees in the Village of Hewlett Neck.

14. Public Hearing for Proposed Bill HN-4-2018- A local law to amend the Code of the Village of Hewlett in relation to orders to remedy and penalties for violatons of the Building Code in the Village of Hewlett Neck

A. Open Hearing – At 7:45 p.m. the Board opened the continued public hearing.

B. Notice of Hearing: Nassau Herald.

C. Affidavits – Received.

D. Appearances – None.

E. Close Hearing – On motion made by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Levine and unanimously approved the Board voted to close the hearing and adopt the following resolution

RESOLUTION
SEQRA Classification

RESOLVED, that the Board hereby finds and concludes that the Board of Trustees is the Lead Agency with respect to environmental impact review of Bill HN- 4-2018 as defined in the State Environmental Quality Review Act and its regulations, and

(a) the adoption of HN 4- 2018 is an Unlisted Action;

(b) the Board has considered the following factors and made the following conclusions in respect to its review of the environmental impacts of the proposed action:

(i) the proposed action would not result in any substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels, nor any substantial increase in solid waste production, nor create a substantial increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;

(ii) the proposed action would not result in the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, impacts on a significant habitat area, substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such a species, or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;

(iii) the proposed action would not impair the environmental characteristics of any Critical Environmental Area;

(iv) the proposed action would not conflict with the community's current plans or goals as official approved or adopted;

(v) the proposed action would not impair the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;

(vi) the proposed action would not result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;

(vii) the proposed action would not create a hazard to human health;

(viii) the proposed action would not create a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land, including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;

(ix) the proposed action would not encourage or attract large numbers of persons to any place for more than a few days, compared to the number who would come to such place without such action;

(x) the proposed action would not create changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which would have a significant impact on the environment, but when taken considered together would result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment;

(xi) the proposed action would not create substantial adverse impacts when considered cumulatively with any other actions, proposed or in process;

(xii) the proposed action would not result in substantial adverse impact with respect to any relevant environmental consideration, including noise, aesthetics, traffic, air quality, water quality or adequacy of water supply, drainage, soil conditions, or quality of life in the community in general and the immediate neighborhood in particular;

(xiii) the proposed action would enhance the protection of the environment;

(c) the proposed action would not have a significant adverse environmental impact;

(d) no further environmental review is required with respect to the proposed action, and

(e) the Mayor, or his designee, is authorized to complete an Environmental Assessment Form in relation to this proposed action in a manner consistent with the foregoing findings.

On motion by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Schnell, the Board voted unanimously to adopt Local Law No. HN-4 of 2018 in relation to orders to remedy and penalties for violations of the Building Code in the Village of Hewlett Neck

15. Public Hearing for Proposed Bill HN-5-2018- A local law to amend the Code of the Village of Hewlett in relation to parking violations

A. Open Hearing – At 7:47 p.m. the Board opened the continued public hearing.

B. Notice of Hearing: Nassau Herald.

C. Affidavits – Received.

D. Appearances – None.

E. Close Hearing – On motion made by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Levine and unanimously approved the Board voted to close the hearing and adopt the following resolution

RESOLUTION
SEQRA Classification

RESOLVED, that the Board hereby finds and concludes that the Board of Trustees is the Lead Agency with respect to environmental impact review of Bill HN- 5-2018 as defined in the State Environmental Quality Review Act and its regulations, and

- (a) the adoption of HN 5- 2018 is an Unlisted Action;
- (b) the Board has considered the following factors and made the following conclusions in respect to its review of the environmental impacts of the proposed action:
 - (i) the proposed action would not result in any substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels, nor any substantial increase in solid waste production, nor create a substantial increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
 - (ii) the proposed action would not result in the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, impacts on a significant habitat area, substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such a species, or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;
 - (iii) the proposed action would not impair the environmental characteristics of any Critical Environmental Area;
 - (iv) the proposed action would not conflict with the community's current plans or goals as official approved or adopted;
 - (v) the proposed action would not impair the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;
 - (vi) the proposed action would not result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;
 - (vii) the proposed action would not create a hazard to human health;
 - (viii) the proposed action would not create a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land, including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;
 - (ix) the proposed action would not encourage or attract large numbers of persons to any place for more than a few days, compared to the number who would come to such place without such action;
 - (x) the proposed action would not create changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which would have a significant impact on the environment, but when taken considered together would result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment;
 - (xi) the proposed action would not create substantial adverse impacts when considered cumulatively with any other actions, proposed or in process;
 - (xii) the proposed action would not result in substantial adverse impact with respect to any relevant environmental consideration, including noise, aesthetics, traffic, air quality, water quality or adequacy of water supply, drainage, soil conditions, or quality of life in the community in general and the immediate neighborhood in particular;
 - (xiii) the proposed action would enhance the protection of the environment;
- (c) the proposed action would not have a significant adverse environmental impact;
- (d) no further environmental review is required with respect to the proposed action,
and

(e) the Mayor, or his designee, is authorized to complete an Environmental Assessment Form in relation to this proposed action in a manner consistent with the foregoing findings.

On motion by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Schnell, the Board voted unanimously to adopt Local Law No. HN-5 of 2018 in relation to parking violations

16. Public Hearing for Proposed Bill HN-6-2018- A local law to amend the Code of the Village of Hewlett in relation to penalties for traffic and parking violations, including parking, standing, stopping, registration and inspection violations, in the Village of Hewlett Neck

A. Open Hearing – At 7:49 p.m. the Board opened the continued public hearing.

B. Notice of Hearing: Nassau Herald.

C. Affidavits – Received.

D. Appearances – None.

E. Close Hearing – On motion made by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Levine and unanimously approved the Board voted to close the hearing and adopt the following resolution

RESOLUTION
SEQRA Classification

RESOLVED, that the Board hereby finds and concludes that the Board of Trustees is the Lead Agency with respect to environmental impact review of Bill HN- 6-2018 as defined in the State Environmental Quality Review Act and its regulations, and

(a) the adoption of HN 6- 2018 is an Unlisted Action;

(b) the Board has considered the following factors and made the following conclusions in respect to its review of the environmental impacts of the proposed action:

(i) the proposed action would not result in any substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels, nor any substantial increase in solid waste production, nor create a substantial increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;

(ii) the proposed action would not result in the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, impacts on a significant habitat area, substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such a species, or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;

(iii) the proposed action would not impair the environmental characteristics of any Critical Environmental Area;

(iv) the proposed action would not conflict with the community's current plans or goals as official approved or adopted;

(v) the proposed action would not impair the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;

- (vi) the proposed action would not result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;
 - (vii) the proposed action would not create a hazard to human health;
 - (viii) the proposed action would not create a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land, including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;
 - (ix) the proposed action would not encourage or attract large numbers of persons to any place for more than a few days, compared to the number who would come to such place without such action;
 - (x) the proposed action would not create changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which would have a significant impact on the environment, but when taken considered together would result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment;
 - (xi) the proposed action would not create substantial adverse impacts when considered cumulatively with any other actions, proposed or in process;
 - (xii) the proposed action would not result in substantial adverse impact with respect to any relevant environmental consideration, including noise, aesthetics, traffic, air quality, water quality or adequacy of water supply, drainage, soil conditions, or quality of life in the community in general and the immediate neighborhood in particular;
 - (xiii) the proposed action would enhance the protection of the environment;
- (c) the proposed action would not have a significant adverse environmental impact;
- (d) no further environmental review is required with respect to the proposed action, and
- (e) the Mayor, or his designee, is authorized to complete an Environmental Assessment Form in relation to this proposed action in a manner consistent with the foregoing findings.

On motion by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Schnell, the Board voted unanimously to adopt Local Law No. HN-6 of 2018 in relation to penalties for traffic and parking violations, including parking, standing, stopping, registration and inspection violations, in the Village of Hewlett Neck

17. Public Hearing for Proposed Bill HN-7-2018- A local law repealing Section 50-8(D) of the Code of the Village of Hewlett Neck in relation to building inspections performed by the Building Inspector, in the Village of Hewlett Neck
- A. Open Hearing – At 7:50 p.m. the Board opened the continued public hearing.
 - B. Notice of Hearing: Nassau Herald.
 - C. Affidavits – Received.
 - D. Appearances – None.
 - E. Close Hearing – On motion made by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Levine and unanimously approved the Board voted to close the hearing and adopt the following resolution

RESOLUTION
SEQRA Classification

RESOLVED, that the Board hereby finds and concludes that the Board of Trustees is the Lead Agency with respect to environmental impact review of Bill HN- 7-2018 as defined in the State Environmental Quality Review Act and its regulations, and

- (a) the adoption of HN 7- 2018 is an Unlisted Action;
- (b) the Board has considered the following factors and made the following conclusions in respect to its review of the environmental impacts of the proposed action:
 - (i) the proposed action would not result in any substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels, nor any substantial increase in solid waste production, nor create a substantial increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
 - (ii) the proposed action would not result in the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, impacts on a significant habitat area, substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such a species, or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;
 - (iii) the proposed action would not impair the environmental characteristics of any Critical Environmental Area;
 - (iv) the proposed action would not conflict with the community's current plans or goals as official approved or adopted;
 - (v) the proposed action would not impair the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;
 - (vi) the proposed action would not result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;
 - (vii) the proposed action would not create a hazard to human health;
 - (viii) the proposed action would not create a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land, including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;
 - (ix) the proposed action would not encourage or attract large numbers of persons to any place for more than a few days, compared to the number who would come to such place without such action;
 - (x) the proposed action would not create changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which would have a significant impact on the environment, but when taken considered together would result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment;
 - (xi) the proposed action would not create substantial adverse impacts when considered cumulatively with any other actions, proposed or in process;
 - (xii) the proposed action would not result in substantial adverse impact with respect to any relevant environmental consideration, including noise, aesthetics, traffic, air quality, water quality or adequacy of water supply, drainage, soil conditions, or quality of life in the community in general and the immediate neighborhood in particular;
 - (xiii) the proposed action would enhance the protection of the environment;
- (c) the proposed action would not have a significant adverse environmental impact;

(d) no further environmental review is required with respect to the proposed action, and

(e) the Mayor, or his designee, is authorized to complete an Environmental Assessment Form in relation to this proposed action in a manner consistent with the foregoing findings.

On motion by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Schnell, the Board voted unanimously to adopt Local Law No. HN-7 of 2018 in relation to building inspections performed by the Building Inspector, in the Village of Hewlett Neck

18. Reports:

A. Public Safety – February 2018

1. Police Activity Report

Clerk Blandino summarized the Police Activity Report.

February	Arrests	0
	Crime Reports	Nothing to report

B. Treasurer's Report – February 2018

Clerk Blandino summarized the Treasurer's Report

Cash status	February , 2018		
Bank balances	Reg., Pay., M.M.		
As of 2/1/18			\$492,772.51
<u>Plus Receipts</u>			
Non-Property Taxes		1 4,142.24	
Department Income		-757.67	
Licenses and Permits		3,650.00	
Sale of Property/Other Comp		<u>7.25</u>	
			<u>17,041.82</u>
			\$509,814.33
<u>Less Disbursements</u>			
General Government Support		11,168.08	
Public Safety		4,490.00	
Transportation		2,979.64	
Employee Benefits		<u>2,293.31</u>	
			<u>-20,931.03</u>
			488,883.30
<u>Proof Bank Balances:</u>			
Capital One – Regular		22,096.19	
Capital One – Payroll		6,900.07	
Capital One – Money Market		<u>459,887.04</u>	
			488,883.30

1. Audit of Claims

On motion by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Schnell and unanimously approved, the Village Clerk was directed to pay the general fund claims in the amount of \$31,911.89 as set forth in abstract #643.

C. Roads – Road Commissioner Ziarno – No report

19. New Business:

None

20. Next Meeting: April 10, 2018 at 8:00 pm

21. Adjournment:

As there was no further business the meeting was adjourned at 8:05p.m.

Michelle Blandino
Village Clerk